Lamb Chiropractic Clinic

Howard Lamb D.C.



The Surgery, 48 Marsh Road, Pinner, Middlesex, HA5 5NQ 020-8866-3230/020-8866-8018

15th February, 2022

10 Downing Street, London, SW1A 2AA

Dear Prime Minister,

Hopefully your shake up of the cabinet office and staff will allow this letter through so that you can read it and react. In 2010 I took on the case study of a young teenager who had fallen through the system and was left undiagnosed and traumatically stressed; a highly complex child with multiple special needs. I drew back all of the paperwork via the mother, during which time I had managed to engage with the child and mentor him. I was the appropriate adult at meetings with the council, education, social services, police and others. This gave me a clear view of what had happened and what was current.

His medical history showed that he had autistic symptoms before the age of six but was not labelled with the diagnosis. He had several processing difficulties including speech. He had an attachment disorder to his mother and a list of others which had built up over time. There is no mistake about his autism; he has had several different professionals who have confirmed it including two NHS consultants after his placement failed.

My clinic sponsored the family to get the protection and assistance the child needed. The council did not contest our case at the educational tribunal. The child was awarded a placement based on his autism and the finance for his learning difficulties (education) and therapies to help his post-traumatic stress and anger management. The judge was not happy with Social Services who were caught out falsifying critical paperwork, which is why they could not contest the case. It was called maladministration. The judge refused the appeal and threatened a judicial review. You should have on record my letter to the tribunal, MP, and regulator, which formed the basis for our case and to the solicitor where I predicted that Social Services were trying to force the child into a foster home. So, normal people with no clinical understanding of the child or what has happened to him, taking him away from his mother and blaming her, which is exactly what they tried to do.

The judgement should have covered the child to the age of 23 and beyond. The placements were under-financed and did not receive the correct monies which the judge had ordered. The child was treated for being a naughty boy. That placement and the following one failed, traumatising the child further and he was on the street by 17 whilst the placement still charged the council.

I could only chronicle the process. The mother had had her control removed, which had been given by the judge, whilst she went through a bout of ill-health, leaving her chronically fatigued. She has all of the paperwork which shows exactly what they did rather than what the judge ordered.

Child X is now 25 and still in recovery from the abuse and trauma he received at the hands of the state. I write to you as the lessons have not been learnt and the next generation will fair no better. Your strategic plan is wrong, or should I say New Labour's strategic plan, which was in place during the early and middle damaging years. That of Cameron, May and yours have been no better.

Teachers try to teach the normal and until they are diagnosed the autistic child is not catered for. If you do not diagnose, you have no staff or facilities budgeted for, no problems – just naughty children with bad mothers. Social workers write reports on a wrong diagnosis so are fiction. Councils are worried about the cost to the rate payer and not about the child. This is all co-ordinated by the Privy Council and others who have failed these children time and time again.

The system knows what it is doing to these children. Instead of change, they challenge all complaints aggressively and make it virtually impossible to win due to being inhouse. I have the paperwork from my complaints as well as the mother's, including the Ombudsman to get shelter for her child. Once viewed from what should have happened to what did, it is clear-cut cover-up of child abuse.

I have asked your office on multiple occasions for an independent investigation and have failed. So, I ask now why there has not been one and why has there been no transparency? At no stage has my diagnosis been challenged which is my right as a clinician.

I have viewed what has happened over the last 12 years and it is just wrong on so many different levels, and it needs to be addressed. Will you please fix it. This would mean a big shake-up to the all-powerful Privy Council and other secretive parts of the hidden infrastructure which controls protocols and procedures, budgets and strategic planning.

I look forward to a positive response.

Yours sincerely,

Howard Lamb

DC