Lamb Chiropractic Clinic

Howard Lamb D.C.



The Surgery, 48 Marsh Road, Pinner, Middlesex, HA5 5NQ 020-8866-3230/020-8866-8018

25th June, 2021

10 Downing Street London SW1A 2AA

Dear Prime Minister,

Thank you for communicating with the Department of Education on behalf of Child X, but that is not what we asked for. Seemingly you have not understood the seriousness of my allegations even though I used plain English. So, let me be clear: the child was crashed out of multiple schools; the mother stabilised him only for the next school, after a honeymoon period, to crash him again because they had not learned the lessons from the previous failure. This is all in the tribunal paperwork. With wrong diagnosis and treatment plan, the child has been treated as poorly parented; as naughty rather than autistic, post-traumatically stressed at the time and still on-going, with learning difficulties. Child X has been intimidated, bullied, and restrained, and has received mental and physical abuse from peers and staff. He has been put at risk on numerous occasions by the Council and its agencies.

Child X won his case at a tribunal in 2012 but probably due to the intervention of Social Services his placement did not see the traumatic stress or autism so treated him like a naughty boy, i.e. in a rigid structure with a minder to follow him around so he could not be naughty, conveniently there to restrain him when needed. The tribunal Judge ordered him to be protected and cared for until the age of 23. He also made provision for his autism and special needs, including therapies to help his recovery from post-traumatic stress and anger-management. What he actually received was a few hours a week on English and maths, and a minder to keep him in check. The placement broke down after he had been restrained several times and the child complained to Ofsted. The complaint was upheld.

The new placement broke down quickly as he just got a minder and no lessons. He was on the streets at 17 with the placement charging the Council and falsifying reports to them; the mother has all of the paperwork. This is smply proved by seeing how much the judge awarded and how much was actually spent. The Judge was not happy with Social Services who seemingly tried to pervert the course of justice by supplying false information to the tribunal. They got away with a mal-administration but their case collapsed and they had to concede. Just for the record they were also under threat of a judicial review, and this was on record at the tribunal.

SEND has not got a brief to deal with any of this, especially when the Council and all of its agencies are in the frame for child abuse and its cover-up. I have written to both you and Mrs May about the need for an independent investigation into a system which would rather abuse a child to save damage to its own reputation than take responsibility for their actions. It would seem that this is a can of worms which you do not want to open as it will expose the tens of thousands of others who have gone through or are going through the same thing.

You have not supplied my requested paperwork, have avoided protocols and procedures, and have not engaged in the complaint of child abuse. You have not been transparent and have avoided equal opportunities in addition to breaking much of the Disabilities legislation, plus let's not forget the removal of human rights. Once I have my paperwork back from you we will be able to see if there has been a dereliction of duty, and if there has been a continuation of child abuse to save money and reputation.

The mother is unwell with chronic fatigue after years of unrelenting stress. She and the child have given me the authority to deal with this matter for them. If proof is needed we have the correct documentation available and can supply it.

A prompt and correct response would be appreciated by the family.

Yours sincerely,

Howard Lamb

DC